

Best Available Copy

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference VM7031426003	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No. PCT/US04/29277	International filing date (day/month/year) 03 September 2004 (03.09.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 05 September 2003 (05.09.2003)		
Applicant		-		
VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS TECHN	OLOGIES, INC.			
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.				
This international search report consists It is also accompanied	d by a copy of each prior art document cited	in this report.		
language in which it was filed, t	e international search was carried out on the ba unless otherwise indicated under this item.	•		
furnished to this Author	The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application			
b. With regard to any nucleot	b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were found				
3. Unity of invention is lacki	ng (See Box No. III)			
4. With regard to the title,				
the text is approved as subr	· ·			
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:				
,				
. :	·			
TYPINd on the abadesad		·		
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant	•		
	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit	y as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant		
may, within one month from	om the date of mailing of this international sear	ch report, submit comments to this Authority.		
6. With regard to the drawings,	1 that administration above as in Pileman May 1			
a. the figure of the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figure No. 1			
	se applicant. 8 Authority, because the applicant failed to sug	gest a figure.		
as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention. b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.				
U. I I HOLE OF the rightes is to be	- hannes are annual.			

Best Available Cop.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/29277

A. CLAS	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC(7)	: H05G 1/64				
US CL	: 378/98.12 International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both nation	onal classification and IPC			
	OS SEARCHED	Olai Classification and I. C			
		alassification cumbals)			
Minimum doc U.S.: 37	numentation searched (classification system followed by 8/98.12, 98.11, 62; 382/130, 264	classification symbols			
·					
Documentatio NONE	n searched other than minimum documentation to the e	extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched		
	:				
Electronic dat EAST	ta base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where practicable, sear	ch terms used)		
C. DOCU	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where app	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 2003/0099388 A1 (DOI et al) 29 May 2003 (29.0		1-4, 6-14, 16-21, 23-		
		,, ,	31, 33, 34		
Y			5 15 22 22		
х	US 6,125,166 A (TAKEO) 26 September 2000 (26.0	5, 15, 22, 32 35-47			
. Ү,Р	US 6,766,064 B1 (LANGAN et al) 20 July 2004 (20.07.2004), column 4, line 36). 5, 15				
A	US 6,370,417 B1 (HORBASCHEK et al) 09 April 2002 (09.04.2002), see entire document.				
	i i				
Ì	'				
	,				
1					
	;	•			
1	i.				
1					
Further	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
+ 5	Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inter- date and not in conflict with the appli	ernational filing date or priority		
	u defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or theory underlying the inv	ention		
	ular relevance i pplication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered.	claimed invention cannot be ered to involve an inventive step		
	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	when the document is taken alone			
establish specified	the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive ste	p when the document is		
"O" documer	ut referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	combined with one or more other suc being obvious to a person skilled in the	n documents, such combination ne art		
	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the "&" document member of the same patent family priority date claimed				
	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	ch report		
04 February	2005 (04.02.2005)	Authorized officer			
Name and m	nailing address of the ISA/US				
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents IOSE G. DEES					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. (571) 272-1607					
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- V		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

Best Available Copy

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To:		PCT	
PETER C. MEI		PCI	
BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP		•	
THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4067	WR	ITTEN OPINION OF THE	
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, SANT-AGO	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
•	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	15 FEB 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		
VM7031426003		See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/29277 9 03 September 2004 (03.0		05 September 2003 (05.09.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification	tion and IPC		
IPC(7): H05G 1/64 and US Cl.: 378/98.12, 98.11, 62; 382/130, 2	.64		
Applicant			
VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following iten	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	Box No. VI Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	onal application		
2. FURTHER ACTION			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		. •	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized office	r	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/U9	JOSE G. DEES		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Commissioner for Patents		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-1607	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (Jaruary 2004)

Best Available Co.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/US04/29277

International application No.

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
		•			
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been e which is the language of	stablished on the basis of a translation from the original la f a ranslation furnished for the purposes of international s	nguage into the following language, earch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2. With claim	regard to any nucleoticed invention, this opinion	de and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internantas been established on the basis of:	ational application and necessary to the		
a.	type of material		•		
	a sequence listing	F			
	table(s) related to	the sequence listing			
b.	format of material				
	in written format	* *			
	in computer reada	able form			
c.	time of filing/furnishing	g _	,		
	contained in inte	rnational application as filed.			
	filed together wi	th the international application in computer readable form.			
	furnished subsequ	uently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4. Addi	tional comments:				
		>	••		
			•		
		N. A.	4		
•	•				
		{			
	·				
		•			



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/29277

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement	•			
Novelty (N)		Claims	5, 15, 22; 32	YES
novely (11)	•		1-4, 6-14, 16-21, 23-31, 33-47	NO
•				
Inventive step (IS)			5, 15, 22, 32	YES
		Claims	1-4, 6-14, 16-21, 23-31, 33-47	NO
Industrial applicability	. (TA)	Claims	1.47	YES
Industrial applicability	(1A) ∵		NONE	NO
2. Citations and explanations:			•	•
Please See Continuation Sheet				
•		•	•	,
	•		•	
	•			,
	,		•	•
,	÷ 1			
				•
•				
	*			
•				
•	<u>.</u>	•		
·				
	. :			
•				
	; }.			
		i		
	:	1		
	:			
9	:			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

Best Available Copy

WRITTEN CPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/29277

Supplemental Box
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-21, 23-31, and 33-34 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Doi et al. (US 2003/0099388).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 18, and 28: Doi teaches a method or a computer readable medium having a set of stored instruction of processing a x-ray image, comprising: collecting a first x-ray image (upper section image) and a second x-ray image (lower section image); determining a composite image (mask image) based on the first (upper section image) and second x-ray images (lower section image); collecting a third x-ray image (target section image); and adjusting (subtraction) the third x-ray image (target section image) based on the composite image (mask image) (Figure 5(b)).

Regarding claims 2, 12, 19, and 29: Doi teaches the first, second, and third x-ray images are generated in a sequence (upper, target, lower images).

Regarding claims 3, 13, 20, and 30: Doi teaches the first, second, and third x-ray images are each contains an image of at least a portion o fan animal body (22).

Regarding claims 4, 14, 21, and 31: Doi teaches the determining a composite image comprises performing a image averaging on the first and second x-ray images (linear interpolation).

Regarding claims 6, 16, 23, and 33: Doi teaches the image averaging is performed based on a weighted average (linear, equal weighted, interpolation).

Regarding claims 7, 17, 24, and 34: Doi teaches the adjusting comprises subtracting the composite image from the third x-ray image (118).

Regarding claims 8 and 25: Doi teaches a system for processing a x-ray image, comprising: means (32) for collecting a first x-ray image (upper section image) and a second x-ray image (lower section image); means (36) for determining a composite image (mask image) based on the first (upper section image) and second x-ray images (lower section image); means (32) for collecting a third x-ray image (target image); and means (26) for adjusting the third x-ray image (target image) based on the composite image (mask image).

Regarding claims 9 and 26: Doi teaches the means for determining a composite image comprises means (36) for performing an image averaging (linear interpolation) on the first and second x-ray images.

Regarding claims 10 and 27: Doi teaches the means for adjusting comprises means for subtracting (118, column 6, line 62) the composite image from the third x-ray image.

Claims 35-47 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Takeo (US 6,125,166).

Regarding claims 35 and 43: Takeo teaches a method or a computer readable medium having a set of stored instruction of processing a x-ray image, comprising: obtaining a first x-ray image (41); obtaining a second x-ray image (42); and determining a composite image (43) based on at least a portion of the first (41) and second (42) x-ray images.

Regarding claims 36 and 44: Takeo teaches the first (41) and second (42) x-ray images are generated in a sequence (by scanning phosphor screen 5 and 7).

Regarding claims 37 and 45: Takeo teaches the first and second x-ray images each contains an image of at least a portion of an animal body (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 38 and 46: Takeo teaches the determining a composite image comprises subtracting at least a portion of the first



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/29277

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

x-ray image from at least a portion of the second x-ray image (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 39 and 47: Takeo teaches determining a value associated with a contrast of the composite image (column 19, line 14).

Regarding claim 40: Takeo teaches a system for processing a x-ray image, comprising: means (5) for obtaining a first x-ray image (41); means (7) for obtaining a second x-ray image (42); and means (30) for determining a composite image (43) based on at least a portion of the first x-ray image (41) and at least a portion of the second x-ray image (42).

Regarding claim 41: Takeo teaches the means for determining a composite image comprises means for subtracting at least a portion of the first x-ray image from at least a portion of the second x-ray image (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 42: Takeo teaches means for determining a value associated with a contract of the composite image (column 19, line 14).

Claims 5, 15, 22, and 32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the systems and methods for processing x-ray images as claimed.

Claims 1-47 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.